UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 09/834,478 | 04/13/2001 | Emily Chien | 40655.1300 | 2560 |
| | 7590 02/26/201 : L.L.P. (AMEX) | EXAMINER | | |
| ONE ARIZONA | , | | RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM | |
| PHOENIX, AZ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3689 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/26/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

HSOBELMAN@SWLAW.COM DMIER@SWLAW.COM JESLICK@SWLAW.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 09/834,478 | CHIEN ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Dennis Ruhl | 3689 | |

| | Dennis Runi | 3689 | |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth it ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of ortened statutory period for reply original. | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli | ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f | iled within two months | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | ut prior to the date of filing a brief, | will <u>not</u> be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better | er form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying t | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or | arragnanding number of finally rais | atad alaima | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11) | | cted claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 | | mpliant Amondment (| DTOL 324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | npliant Amendment (| -10L-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the control of the control | | imely filed amendmer | ot canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | owabie ii subifiilled iii a separale, i | imely filed afficildifier | it canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi | | be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | before or on the date of filing a Na | tion of Annual will not | ha antarad |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been conside because: | red but does NOT place the applic | ation in condition for a | allowance |
| See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | /Dennie Buhl/ | | |
| | /Dennis Ruhl/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3689 | |
| | Fillinary Examiner, Art U | III. 3003 | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The cancellation of a significant portion of claims 1,5,19,23,33,37,38 requires further consideration from the examiner with respect to prior art and potential 112s in dependent claims. Applicant is significantly broadening these claims and this requires further consideration from the examiner. With respect to claim 43, the amendment to the claim requires further consideration as the claim scope is slightly changed by the proposed amendment. Applicant added new claims 44-50 that were not previously pending and this requires further consideration from the examiner.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is based on substantially amended claims that have been denied entry. The argument regarding new matter is still not persuasive. Without having considered the current claim scope prior to Final rejection, the examiner cannot reasonably comment on applicant's current claims and the corresponding arguments.